## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	ONTED CTATES DISTRICT COURT TOR I	THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MINORIOAN
	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Iribel Lazaro-Rosales  Defendant	Case No. 1:09-cr-00342-RHB
	Derendant	
	ter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform fendant be detained pending trial.	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findi	ngs of Fact
	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 1	8 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B), for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is dea	ath or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ter	n years or more is prescribed in: .*
	a felony committed after the defendant had been of U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involv a minor victim	
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon 2250
	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while or local offense.	e the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	_ date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumpti person or the community. I further find that defendant ha	on that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another as not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative F	indings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant ha	s committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or n Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)		shad by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions
	will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and t	
<b>√</b> (1)	Alternative F There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear	
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger t	
	Part II – Statement of the	Reasons for Detention
I fi		etention hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing

evidence \_\_\_\_ a preponderance of the evidence that:

- 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest detention at this time.
- 2. Defendant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released in any case.
- 3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	November 12, 2009	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	